## Assessment of Impact

## Impact of Service for Faculty Report\*

Purpose to assess the impact of faculty experiences with community engagemolesservice learning partnerships over the past year.

Response rate: 42 surveys were completed

Survey method online via Qualtrics survey.\*

Data collection period April through May of 2017

Sampling method: nonprobability sampling

## **Findings**

## Sample Characteristics

- x Among the 42 espondents who answered the number of semesters their students have been involved with community engagement as part of their course recument or for extra credit, 35.71%n(= 15) indicated more than six3.33% (n = 14) indicated two to three semesters, 16.67%=(7) indicated four to five semesters, 14/2(n = 6) indicated "this is my first semester."
- x Among the 42 respondents, 42.86% (n = 166)c'asionally have done community engagement/ork that does not involve student £3.81% (n = 1)0" regularly have doe community engagement/ork that does not involve student £6.19% (n= 11) are "always engaged in one or more community engagement/ore projects, 7.14% (n = 3)

<sup>\*</sup>The survey was originally created by Dr. Sonia Gonsalves and revised by the Stockton Center for Community Engagement with the support of the Office of Institutional Research.

x = 8), BUSN (13.64%, n

<sup>\*</sup>The survey was originally created by Dr. Sonia Gonsalves and revised by the Stockton Center for Community Engagement with the support of the Office of Institutional Research.

Respondents had the following choices to select all that **aspthy**eir most important learning goal for students in community engagem's that to work in," "increase in ability to effect change," "changes in attitudes," "perspective taking," and "specific learning related to the course contained "other."

x All learning goals were selected, howeverpecific learning to course content" and "changes in attides" were chosetime most.

x 52.38% (n = 22) of respondents elected's phaeileir c4earn in a defination of definition of definition of definition of the contraction of the

Χ

<sup>\*</sup>The survey was originally created by Dr. Sonia Gonsalves and revised by the Stockton Center for Community Engagement with the support of the Office of Institutional Research.

x = 30.95%(n = 13) of respondentselected 9.52 a 52

<sup>\*</sup>The survey was originally created by Dr. Sonia Gonsalves and revised by the Stockton Center for Community Engagement with the support of the Office of Institutional Research.

Among the respondentesho answered this question, 38.10% (n  $\neq$  df6ose given me contemporary examples to integrate into my scholarship,"  $26 \, \text{n} \, 9\% \, 1$ () chose "no impact,"  $16.67\% \, \text{n} \, = 7$ ) chose given me different perspectives desues," 11.90% (n = 5 chose not applicable," and 7.14% (n = 3) chose "other."

Χ

<sup>\*</sup>The survey was originally created by Dr. Sonia Gonsalves and revised by the Stockton Center for Community Engagement with the support of the Office of Institutional Research.

Level of Agreement with Statements about Having Students Engaged in Community Engagement Work

Respondents were presented a five that Likert scale comprising seven statements about having their students participate in community engagement. With lower end of the scale was "strongly agree and the higher end of the scale was "strongly agree." The following table shows the responses.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total of Respondents
Has been valuable to me as teacher	64.29% (n = 27)	26.19% (n = 11)	4.76% (n = 2)	4.76% (n = 2)	0% (n = 0)	42

<sup>\*</sup>The survey was originally created by Dr. Sonia Gonsalves and revised by the Stockton Center for Community Engagement with the support of the Office of Institutional Research.

