

Eight years ago, Gov. Jon Corzine went before a joint session of the Legislature to deliver audience that New Jersey has a government it could not afford.

Coming from Corzine -- a governor not known for his tightfistedness -- the comment was new day of austerity had dawned and lawmakers had best take heed.

Corzine failed, though, to offer the corollary to his unaffordability remark: New Jerseyans than they're willing to pay for.

This conundrum has been evident each year as the appropriate budget committees embar holding public hearings to hear from anyone with suggestions about spending less or spen

As in prior years, recommendations to spend more have dominated recent hearings.

Representatives of organized groups have followed one another to the microphone to urge increase funding for their cause. They come armed with charts and statistics along with te their programs and warn of serious consequences if funding is lost.

They personalize their pleas by putting a human face on a program's beneficiaries. They a funding will have a life-altering impact on those who depend on government-supported programs.

Some seek modest sums; others more significant amounts. They fall across a wide and divided health care to higher education to homeless shelters to job training.

Over the years, few have been successful, and this year's outcomes may fall short of ever budget resources -- always keen -- will be considerably sharper this year as a result of correvenue growth and stubbornly slow economic recovery.

Programs enacted in fiscally rosier times and whose constituencies have grown and streng